## Mark scheme - Ecosystems

Questio n		io	Answer/Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
1			С	1	
			Total	1	
2			В	1	
			Total	1	
3			C√	1	
			Total	1	
4			C√	1	
			Total	1	
5			A ]	1	ALLOW B Examiner's Comments Almost two-thirds of candidates were correct about pioneer communities.
			Total	1	
6			В√	1 (AO1.1)	Examiner's Comments Most responses were correct. There was evidence that some candidates expected to see the term 'energy' rather than 'biomass' and so opted for D. However, 'biomass' is the term used in the specification and so the statement associated with D was true.
			Total	1	
7			B√	1 (AO 2.1)	
			Total	0	
8			A✓	1 AO2.1	
			Total	1	

9			C√	1 AO1.2	
			Total	1	
1 0			D√	1 AO1.2	
			Total	1	
1	а	i	lay tape measure out from edge of pond √ place quadrat beside tape measure √ identify species of buttercup in quadrat √ count number of plants of each species (in quadrat) √ repeat for positions of quadrat along tape √ three columns with clear headings √ quadrat number / distance from pond in left hand column √	Max 3 Max 2	ACCEPT measure % cover of each species ACCEPT count squares containing plants of each species ACCEPT two columns where second column is divided into two forseparate species
	b		number of plants / % cover in right hand column(s) $\checkmark$ predation / herbivory $\checkmark$ competition $\checkmark$ correct ref to organisms living in soil $\checkmark$	Max 1	
			Total	6	
1 2	a i have significant effect on ecosystem√ many other species rely on activity of beavers√		2		
		ii	<i>created dams</i> flooded areas upstream / reduced flow rate downstream creating still / slow moving water for aquatic species√ <i>felled trees</i> opened up tree canopy allowing light to ground level√ <i>built lodges</i>	Max 3	ALLOW any other valid point

## Ecosystems

			creates sheltered habitat for insect species / beaver parasites√		
		ii i	ecotourism / education / scientific study $\checkmark$ water quality improved as silt is held back by dams $\checkmark$	Max 1	
	b		species diversity will rise as more species live in the new habitats $\checkmark$ genetic diversity will increase as species have a wider range of conditions in which to live $\checkmark$	2	
			loss of farmland due to flooding $\checkmark$ strength of argument depends on area affected $\checkmark$		
			(probably) not a strong argument as relatively small areas affected $\checkmark$		
	С		trees cut down√	max 4	
			(of concern to foresters) likely to occur only in area near water – so not a strong argument √ damage to river bank needing costly repairs √ cost should be shared by all who benefit (including those downstream) so not a strong argument √		
			Total	12	
1 3		i	FIRST CHECK ON ANSWER LINE If answer 91 ± 1or 90.7 ± 1 (%) award 2 marks 215 000 - 20 000 = 195 000 195 000/215 000 = 0.907 √ x 100 = 90.7 √	2 AO2.8	Max 1 if answer not given to 2 or 3 s.f. If answer incorrect ALLOW 195 000/215 000 or 0.907 for 1 mark
1 3		i	FIRST CHECK ON ANSWER LINE If answer 91 ± 1or 90.7 ± 1 (%) award 2 marks $215\ 000 - 20\ 000 = 195\ 000$ $195\ 000/215\ 000 = 0.907\ \checkmark$ x 100 = 90.7 $\checkmark$ <i>idea</i> of changes over time $\checkmark$ figs with units to illustrate population change $\checkmark$	2 AO2.8 2 AO2.8	Max 1 if answer not given to 2 or 3 s.f. If answer incorrect ALLOW 195 000/215 000 or 0.907 for 1 mark ALLOW calculated change / ref to answer to part (i)
1 3		i ii i	FIRST CHECK ON ANSWER LINE If answer 91 ± 1 or 90.7 ± 1 (%) award 2 marks215 000 - 20 000 = 195 000 195 000/215 000 = 0.907 $\checkmark$ x 100 = 90.7 $\checkmark$ <i>idea</i> of changes over time $\checkmark$ figs with units to illustrate population change $\checkmark$ 1no data shown for , winter months / Dec / Jan / Feb $\checkmark$ 2no data shown about temperature or light $\checkmark$ 3 <i>idea</i> of fluctuations / dips during summer months $\checkmark$ 4another , biotic / abiotic , factor could be causing the increase $\checkmark$	2 AO2.8 2 AO2.8 3 max AO3.2	Max 1 if answer not given to 2 or 3 s.f. If answer incorrect ALLOW 195 000/215 000 or 0.907 for 1 mark ALLOW calculated change / ref to answer to part (i) 4 ALLOW e.g. increased nutrient availability / reduction in predators / increased CO <sub>2</sub> / qualified reference to pollution 4 ALLOW correlation does not imply causal link

1 4	i	110 000 / 1.1 × 10 <sup>5</sup> (1) kJ km <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> (1)	2	ALLOW the word or any reasonable symbol for year ALLOW kJ y <sup>-1</sup> km <sup>-2</sup>
	ii	2.5 (1)(1)	2	ALLOW correct answer in the working if the answer line is left blank. If answer is incorrect, <b>award</b> 1 mark for 0.005 ÷ 0.2 × 100
		Total	4	
1 5	i	8550 (kJ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ) √√	2	AWARD one mark for 8 550 000 (J m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ) OR AWARD one mark for 9 x 950 000 OR AWARD one mark for 7600 (kJ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ) Examiner's Comments A small proportion of candidates were awarded full marks for this question. Many gained one mark for an answer of 7600, for incorrectly multiplying 950 000 by 8, instead of 9, and then correctly converting it to kJ. The remainder were awarded no marks due to an incorrect calculation or giving an answer of 7,600,000 and not converting it to kJ.
	ii	11 (%) √	1	ACCEPT ECF from c (i) (look for 950(000) divided by answer to c(i)and a correct calculation to 2 sig figs) e.g. if calculated 7600 (kJ m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ) then answer would be 13(%) Examiner's Comments The majority of candidates were awarded one mark here for either a fully correct answer or ECF from Q4(c)(i). Where not awarded, this was

					mainly due to students not giving their answers to the correct number of significant figures.
			Total	3	
1 6	а		(Habitat B =) 0.61 $\checkmark$ Habitat with the greatest biodiversity = A $\checkmark$	2	DO NOT ALLOW mp 2 if value of D not calculated ALLOW ECF if B has been identified as the habitat with greatest biodiversity, (if value of D calculated for habitat B greater than 0.71) Examiner's Comments Most candidates gained both marks here. Candidates who showed clear working and an understanding of the method to calculate Simpson's index scored well, but without clear workings, answers were often wrong. Some candidates forgot to take their calculated number from 1. Most candidates who had correctly calculated the biodiversity for habitat B understood the significance of the result and stated that habitat A had a greater biodiversity.
	b	i	climax <u>community</u> √	1	Examiner's Comments Many candidates gained credit by making reference to the climax community. Unsuccessful responses often indicated that the candidate had not understood the question and their response related to the beginning of the process of primary succession. Common errors included

			pioneer species and climax population.
			e.g. ' lay tape from edge of lake and sample along it'
	belt / line, transect / described or stratified sampling / described √		(N.B. only allow random sampling in context of stratified sampling) ALLOW any suitable method of trapping insects IGNORE capture mark recapture
i	or systematic sampling / place quadrats at, set / pre- determined, intervals along the transect or random sampling using quadrats in, selected areas / strata √	3	Examiner's Comments Successful responses referred to the use of a belt or line transect to sample stages of succession and a few referred to the use of stratified sampling.
	pooter / sweep nets / pitfall traps / light traps / tree- beating √		Candidates were less successful in describing how to minimise sampling bias, the most common error was to refer to random sampling without stating in what context this would be used. Contradictory statements referring to the use of random sampling along a transect, lost many candidates marks.
			Candidates showed a good understanding of methods to sample insect biodiversity, the most frequent successful

					responses referred to the use of pooters, sweep nets or pitfall traps.
					ALLOW ( k)g h <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J h <sup>-1</sup> yr -1 / tonnes h <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)g (k)m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J (k)m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>
					<b>ALLOW</b> (k)g (d)m <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J (d)m <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)g (k)m <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J km <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>
		ii i	Woodland = (k)g m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> <b>AND</b> Lake = (k)g m <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> / (k)J m <sup>-3</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> $\checkmark$	1	ALLOW hectare <sup>-1</sup> for h <sup>-1</sup> ALLOW y for yr DO NOT ALLOW 'per' ALLOW '/' instead of <sup>-1</sup>
					Examiner's Comments
					This was a high level question, and as expected, only the most able candidates answered this question correctly. Very few understood the idea of mass/energy +area/volume + time, make up the unit.
			Total	7	
			the scientists need to know whether tomato plants produce methyl jasmonate √		IGNORE descriptions of improvements to method e.g. same growing conditions / effects of other herbivores / constant number of larvae
			natural concentrations are as high as experimental ones / AW $\checkmark$	2	ALLOW 'whether more methyl jasmonate produced after herbivory than before'
1 7		i	methyl jasmonate increases, growth (rate) / cell division (rather than reducing herbivory) $\checkmark$	– max(AO3. 3)	
			other plants respond in the same way (as tomatoes) $\checkmark$		
			the effect on the armyworm <b>population</b> / AW $\checkmark$		<b>ALLOW</b> 'need to carry out the investigation on other plant species'
					ALLOW how many

				herbivores died
				Examiner's Comments
				Successful candidates avoided describing improvements in the method, since this is not required by the question. Many recognised the need to know if methyl jasmonate was produced naturally by plants and if the concentrations used in the investigation were close to those produced by plants. A smaller number of candidates recognised the need to understand if methyl jasmonate increased biomass as a result of increasing the plant's growth rate rather than reducing herbivory. Candidates who stated that scientists need to know whether other herbivores respond in same way, rather than specifying other insects, did not gain credit.
	ï	methyl jasmonate increases the (final) mass (of tomato plants) √	1(AO3.2)	ALLOW description of relationship e.g. 'as more methyl jasmonate applied mass of tomato plant increases' 'there is a positive correlation between methyl jasmonate and final mass' <u>Examiner's Comments</u> This was answered well by
				most candidates, who used the terms from Fig. 5.1 in their answers. A few candidates wanted to expand their answer to include reasons, which often lost them the mark since they were concluding

				something they could not validly obtain from the graph.
	ii	methyl jasmonate (causes) increased cannibalism (among larvae above 0.1 mmol dm⁻³) / AW √	1(AO3.2)	ALLOW description of relationship e.g. 'as more methyl jasmonate larvae eat each other more' / 'there is a positive correlation between methyl jasmonate and cannibalism' ALLOW methyl jasmonate does not increase cannibalism below 0.1 mmol dm <sup>-3</sup> ALLOW larvae have a tendency towards cannibalism even when no methyl jasmonate applied <u>Examiner's Comments</u> Most candidates gained this mark. Some attempted to explain the cause of the increase in cannibalism (rather than describe the correlation), which is not what the question required.
		Total	4	
1 8	i	FIRST CHECK ON ANSWER LINE If answer = 8.85 × 10 <sup>9</sup> award 2 mark $\checkmark$ 8.94 × 10 <sup>9</sup> – 9.08 × 10 <sup>7</sup> correct $\checkmark$	2(AO 2.6)	If answer incorrect ALLOW max 1 mark for 8.8492 × 10 <sup>9</sup> / 8.84 × 10 <sup>9</sup> / correct answer not in standard form Examiner's Comments Many candidates achieved both marks. Most candidates converted the numbers given in standard form into whole numbers and performed the calculation correctly. Although the question did not instruct candidates to answer in standard form, this was the most appropriate format so full marks were not given

					if responses were written out in full. A minority of candidates also gave answers to too many significant figures, which was inconsistent with the resolution of the numbers they had been presented with.
		ii	measuring changes in dry mass over time / AW $\checkmark$	1(AO3.3)	<b>ALLOW</b> e.g, dry leaves after 24h and weigh them then repeat at different times of year.
		ii i	misses , chloroplasts / parts that photosynthesize $\checkmark$ (rate of photosynthesis) limited by another factor $\checkmark$	1 max (AO 1.1)	ALLOW transmitted
		i v	<i>idea that</i> heather is less easily digested <b>√ora</b> (because of) cellulose (cell walls) / lignin (in woody parts) √	2(AO 2.5)	<b>ALLOW</b> only part of the plant is eaten
			Total	6	
1 9	а		(pond community is) final / stable / not subject to further succession	1	<b>IGNORE</b> 'permanent', it is in the rubric.
	b		light microscope (1) graticule (1)	2	
	с	i	urea / uric acid	1	<b>ALLOW</b> ammonia, ammonium (ions).
		ii	Nitrosomonas (1) nitrite (1) Nitrobacter (1) nitrate (1)	4	
			Total	8	

								AWARD one mark per
								correct column
								IGNORE references to oxygen in the reactant and product columns. DO NOT ACCEPT incorrect
		Type of bacteria	Location	Reactant	Product	Oxida		ACCEPT NH <sub>3</sub> /ammonia for <i>Nitrosomonas</i> reactant <b>Examiner's Comments</b> A low percentage of candidates (<10%) achieved four marks on this question
						reauc o' nitroc		and many had zero or only
		Rhizobium	root <u>nodules</u> / <u>legum</u> inous roots	$N_2$ and $H^+$ ions	NH <sub>3</sub>	reduc		one mark awarded. Common mistakes included:
2 0	а	Nitrosomonas	soil	NH₄ <sup>+</sup> / ammonium , ions / compounds	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> / nitrites	oxida	4	Column 1 – not being specific in saying that the location for
		Nitrobacter	soil	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> / nitrites	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	oxida		Rhizobium is in the
		Denitrifiying bacteria	soil	NO <sub>3</sub> -	N <sub>2</sub> / nitrogen gas	reduc		<ul> <li>root nodules or leguminous roots.</li> <li>Columns 2 and 3 –</li> </ul>
			•	٠	*	~		<ul> <li>missing the charge on NO2<sup>-</sup> or incorrectly expressing it as a positive ion NO2<sup>+</sup> OR putting ammonia as a positive ion NH3<sup>+</sup>.</li> <li>Column 4 – mixing up oxidation and reduction.</li> </ul>

				DO NOT ACCEPT coenzyme
				<ul> <li>2. ACCEPT H<sub>2</sub>, competes / AW, with N<sub>2</sub> for the active site OR 'increase in H<sub>2</sub> will reduce the activity of the enzyme'</li> <li>3. ACCEPT CO acts as a cofactor (as candidates may be unfamiliar with CO)</li> </ul>
b	i	<ol> <li>cluster / iron / molybdenum / sulfur , are, cofactors / prosthetic groups √</li> <li>H<sub>2</sub> is a, competitive inhibitor / end product inhibitor √</li> <li>CO is a <u>non-competitive</u> inhibitor √</li> <li>(CO binds to allosteric site and) causes change in shape of active site √</li> <li>energy required (from ATP ) √</li> <li>acidic conditions, are tolerated / increase reaction rate √</li> </ol>	4 max	<ul> <li>5. ACCEPT ATP required as process is active</li> <li>Examiner's Comments It was pleasing to see that the majority of candidates were awarded two or three marks for this question accessing marking points 2, 3 and 4, for identifying H<sub>2</sub> as a competitive inhibitor and CO as a non-competitive inhibitor (and then going on to add how this affects the shape of the enzyme's active site). The other two marking points for this question were rarely mentioned, but sometimes the marks for these were missed when candidates did not expressly say that as ATP is needed, the process is active/energy requiring or for saying that acidic conditions are tolerated or increase reaction rate. In addition, some marks were lost for marking points 3 and 4 as students mistake CO for CO<sub>2</sub>.</li></ul>
	ii	transport of oxygen, for respiration / to generate ATP (in <i>Rhizobium</i> )√	2	

			removes(excess) oxygen so less inhibition (of enzyme / reaction)√ removes CO to prevent inhibition (of nitrogenase) √		ACCEPT removes oxygen / creates anaerobic conditions, for nitrogen fixation IGNORE removes H <sub>2</sub> so more N <sub>2</sub> can bind (to active site) Examiner's Comments Few candidates obtained full marks on this question. Those that did talked about the removal of oxygen and CO and therefore removal of inhibition of the enzyme. Some common errors/omissions on this question included: Candidates mentioned the removal/ binding of CO/oxygen by leghaemoglobin but did not then mention how this affects the enzyme. Candidates talked about how leghaemoglobin provides the Iron (from the haem group) for the enzyme's prosthetic group or protons/electrons for the reaction.
			Total	10	
2 1	а	i	A = combustion $\checkmark$ F = respiration $\checkmark$	2 AO2.1	ALLOW burning IGNORE aerobic / anaerobic
		ii	more combustion / less photosynthesis $\checkmark$	1 AO2.6	ALLOW more burning (of fuel)

b	Please refer to the marking instructions on page 4 of this mark scheme for guidance on how to mark this question. In summary:         Read through the whole answer. (Be prepared to recognise and credit unexpected approaches where they show relevance.)         Using a 'best-fit' approach based on the science content of the answer, first decide which of the level descriptors, Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3, best describes the overall quality of the answer. Then, award the higher or lower mark within the level, according to the Communication Statement has been met.         • award the higher mark where the Communication Statement has been met.         • award the lower mark where the Communication Statement has been met.         • award the lower mark where the Communication Statement has been met.         • award the lower mark where the Communication Statement have been missed.         • The science content determines the level.         • The science content determines the level.         • The communication Statement determines the mark within a level.         Level 3 (5–6 marks)         Describes in detail the main similarities between the carbon and nitrogen cycles.         There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.         Level 2 (3–4 marks)         Describes some of the key similarities between the carbon and nitrogen cycles, at least one similarity is discussed in detail.         There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and su	6 AO 2.5	Indicative points include AO2.5 Apply knowledge and understanding of scientific processes in a theoretical context when handling qualitative data • inorganic gases • CO <sub>2</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> • in atmosphere • elements fixed to organic compounds • C and N both form proteins / nucleic acids • incorporated into plants (producers) then animals (consumers) • animals obtain element by feeding on plants • decomposing microorganisms • break down organic macromolecules in living things • release inorganic molecules • carbon dioxide and ammonium ions • microorganisms return element to atmosphere • CO <sub>2</sub> released during decomposi tion • N <sub>2</sub> released by denitrifying bacteria

	Total	9	
2	Please refer to the marking instructions on page 4 of this mark scheme for guidance on how to mark this question.         Level 3 (5–6 marks)         Describes some stages of succession with reference to general principals and key terms AND explains why heather moorland is deflected succession.         There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.         Level 2 (3–4 marks)         Describes some stages of succession with reference to general principles         OR         describes one stage of succession with reference to general principles AND explains why heather moorland is deflected succession	6(AO1.2	Indicative points may include AO1.2 Stages Pioneer community • begins with bare rock • arrival as seeds or spores • pioneer species have certain adaptations, e.g. nitrogen-fixation Intermediate community • herb species, including grasses • followed by shrubs and trees
	OR describes some stages of succession AND explains why heather moorland is deflected succession. There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Mentions some stages of succession OR outlines the general principles OR explains why heather moorland is deflected succession. There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant. O marks No response or no response worthy of credit.		Climax community <ul> <li>dominance by a few tree species</li> <li>little change over time</li> </ul> <li>General principles <ul> <li>seral stages</li> <li>community and decomposition changes composition of soil</li> <li>increased organic, nitrate or water content</li> </ul> </li>

				<ul> <li>AO2.5</li> <li>Heather moorland is deflected succession because</li> <li>climax community is prevented from developing</li> <li>As a result</li> <li>plagioclimax</li> <li>heather is a shrub</li> </ul>
		Total	6	
2 3	i	estimate will be inaccurate (because of low numbers) ] dangerous (for collector or jaguar) ]	2	IGNORE refs to conspicuousness of tags ALLOW catching one more jaguar will make a big difference to the calculated number ALLOW the technique only works well with large populations IGNORE difficult to catch ALLOW the jaguars might die IGNORE inhumane / cruel / stressful Examiner's Comments Just under half of candidates gained one mark for (a)(i) for alluding to the dangerous nature of capturing jaguars but very few gained a second mark. Many candidates did not notice the reference to the capture- recapture technique and answered in terms of the inappropriateness of camera traps, which did not gain credit.

			1 CREDIT e.g.
	1 appropriate calculation of, observed / expected, population density		<ul> <li>3.3 / 3 (jaguars per 100 km<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>13.55 / 13 / 14 (est. pop. in 271 km<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>0.05 and 0.033 / 0.03 (jaguars per km<sup>2</sup>)</li> <li>20 and 30.1 / 30 (mean area per jaguar)</li> <li>1 IGNORE significant figures</li> <li>2 ALLOW ecf from candidate's calculation</li> <li>3 Must be in context of mp 1 or 2</li> </ul>
ii	2 lower than estimate ]	4 max	<b>4 ALLOW</b> low reliability <b>4 ALLOW</b> ref. to one-off study / should be repeated
	4 low / unknown, repeatability / reproducibility (of results)		4 IGNORE accurate / valid
	<ul> <li>5 (some) support because, figure / 3, is close (enough) to, estimate / 5 ]</li> <li>6 some individuals not photographed ]</li> <li>7 <i>idea that</i> if many individuals not trapped population could be higher than estimate ]</li> </ul>		6 ALLOW some not caught by camera Examiner's Comments The strongest candidates coped with the evaluative nature of this question well and achieved full marks – usually for the first three points on the mark scheme plus marking point 4, 5 or 6. The majority of candidates focused only on the extent to which the data did not support the conclusion and often scored three marks. The fourth marking point was seen regularly but candidates often used the
	6 some individuals not photographed 〕 7 <i>idea that</i> if many individuals not trapped population could be higher than estimate 〕		The stron coped wit nature of and achie usually fo points on plus mark The majo focused c which the support th often sco The fourt seen regu candidate term 'relia encourag

		Total	4	
	ii	<i>idea of</i> Chapman gives a lower estimate / Lincoln gives a higher estimate √ <i>idea that</i> difference between the estimates is (proportionally), greater for small populations / smaller for large populations √	2 (AO3.1)	<i>Read as prose</i> <b>ALLOW ECF</b> from bi
2 4	i	large heath butterfly1405 and $1153 \checkmark$ bog hoverfly30 and $20 \checkmark$	2 (AO2.8)	Answers must be rounded to nearest whole number
		Total	8	
	ii	human sightings idea of any one of the following misidentification seeing the same individual twice exaggeration / lying poor recollection jaguars likely to be in, places / times, humans are not method unlikely to spot cubs (as still in den) ) footprints idea of any one of the following misidentification might disappear (before recording) multiple prints in same spot makes counting difficult same print might be counted on different occasions many prints made by the same individual hard to distinguish individual jaguars footprints not always left )	2	IGNORE hard to spot IGNORE misidentification if given in human sighting Examiner's Comments This was generally well answered. Candidates who thought that jaguars lived alongside tigers or cheetahs were not penalised for incorrect general knowledge.
				ambiguous nature. Centres should refer to the OCR Practical Skills Handbook for a list of terms used to describe investigative results and their agreed definitions. Although the candidates were not explicitly directed to complete a calculation for this question, almost all did and the vast majority of these were correct.